

Received Date : 30-Dec-2015

Revised Date : 03-Feb-2016

Accepted Date : 03-Feb-2016

Article type : Short Communication

Santoferrara et al.--- Biodiversity Studies in Tintinnid Ciliates

## Updating Biodiversity Studies in Loricata Protists: the Case of the Tintinnids (Alveolata, Ciliophora, Spirotrichea)

Luciana F. Santoferrara<sup>a,1</sup>, Charles Bachy<sup>b</sup>, Viviana A. Alder<sup>c,d</sup>, Jun Gong<sup>e</sup>, Young-Ok Kim<sup>f</sup>, Alessandro Saccà<sup>g</sup>, Inácio D. da Silva Neto<sup>h</sup>, Michaela C. Strüder-Kypke<sup>i</sup>, Alan Warren<sup>j</sup>, Dapeng Xu<sup>k</sup>, Zhenzhen Yi<sup>l</sup>, and Sabine Agatha<sup>m,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Marine Sciences, University of Connecticut, Groton, Connecticut, 06340, USA

<sup>b</sup> Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, Moss Landing, California, 95039, USA

<sup>c</sup> Instituto de Ecología, Genética y Evolución de Buenos Aires (UBA-CONICET) and Departamento de Ecología, Genética y Evolución, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, C1428EHA, Argentina

<sup>d</sup> Instituto Antártico Argentino, Dirección Nacional del Antártico, Buenos Aires, C1010AAZ, Argentina

<sup>e</sup> Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yantai, 264003, China

<sup>f</sup> South Sea Environment Research Division, Korea Institute of Ocean Science & Technology, Geoje, 656-834, Korea

<sup>g</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche e Ambientali, Università degli Studi di Messina, Messina, 98166, Italy

<sup>h</sup> Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 21941-590, Brasil

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/jeu.12303-4772

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

i Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, N1G2W1, Canada

j Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, SW75BD, United Kingdom

k State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Sciences & Institute of Marine Microbes and Ecospheres, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361102, China

l School of Life Science, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, 510631, China

m Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Salzburg, Salzburg, A-5020, Austria

### **Correspondence**

S. Agatha, Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Salzburg, Hellbrunnerstrasse 34, A-5020 Salzburg, Austria

Telephone: +43 662 8044 5540; fax: +43 662 8044 5698; e-mail: [sabine.agatha@sbg.ac.at](mailto:sabine.agatha@sbg.ac.at)

L. Santoferrara, Department of Marine Sciences, University of Connecticut, 1080 Shennecossett Rd., Groton, CT 06340, USA

Telephone: +1 860 405 9232; fax: +1 860 405 9153; e-mail: [luciana.santoferrara@uconn.edu](mailto:luciana.santoferrara@uconn.edu)

### **ABSTRACT**

Species determination is crucial in biodiversity research. In tintinnids, identification is based almost exclusively on the lorica, despite its frequent intraspecific variability and interspecific similarity. We suggest updated procedures for identification and, depending on the aim of the study, further steps to obtain morphological, molecular, and ecological data. Our goal is to help improving the collection of information (e.g., species re-/descriptions and DNA barcodes) that is essential for generating a natural tintinnid classification and a reliable reference for environmental surveys. These suggestions are broadly useful for protistologists because they exemplify data integration, quality/effort compromise, and the need for scientific collaborations.

### **Keywords**

Biodiversity; DNA barcoding; ecology; morphology; phylogeny; taxonomy.

ACCURATE species identification is critical to understand protist biodiversity in all its dimensions (taxonomic, phylogenetic, and functional). It is also the only means to track species distributions and abundances, especially in the context of potential shifts due to climate change. Recent developments in sequencing technologies have led to the discovery of novel lineages, life styles, and physiological traits, and are more and more integrated in

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

the protistologist's tool box. It is thus pivotal to accompany such advances in molecular methods with updated views of the classical, morphology-based taxonomies that still prevail for several protist groups, especially those with shells or other hard structures that are relatively easy to collect, preserve, examine, and classify. One of those groups are the tintinnid ciliates (Spirotrichea, Tintinnida), which are characterized by a lorica. In contrast to the vast majority of ciliates (described mainly by cell morphology), the taxonomy and classification of the more than one thousand species and seventy-five genera of tintinnids are based almost exclusively on lorica features (Agatha and Strüder-Kypke 2013; Lynn 2008). Also, the study of the lorica has allowed the accumulation of diversity and distribution data for more than two centuries (Alder 1999; Dolan et al. 2013), and it has even been shown to relate to ecophysiological traits (Dolan 2010).

Despite the invaluable knowledge generated using lorica-based species identification, the power of this structure for taxa circumscription and genealogical reconstruction has long been questioned (Entz 1909), but rarely confirmed due to the paucity in the application of other criteria. Examples of phenotypic plasticity observed in cultures (Laval-Peuto 1981) and of cryptic species differentiated by their DNA sequences (Santoferrara et al. 2013, 2015) have shown that lorica taxonomy is partly artificial and that the actual tintinnid diversity is unknown.

Data on cell morphology, lorica ultrastructure, and DNA sequences have recently allowed some taxonomic rearrangements. For example, *Favella ehrenbergii*, an important model organism in plankton ecology, has actually included species from two different families (Agatha and Strüder-Kypke 2012). But a far-reaching revision of tintinnid systematics is currently impossible because cytological and molecular characters are known in less than 10% of the named species (Agatha and Strüder-Kypke 2014). Hence, the lorica is still the only key to the comprehensive, up to 240-year-old body of literature, including almost all original descriptions.

We present an updated view on the use of the lorica for species identification and subsequent steps for taxonomic and ecological work (Fig. 1). We gathered the morphological, molecular, and ecological data that, according to our experience, provide the best compromise between data quality and work effort depending on the desired aim of the study (Supporting Information: Box S1, S2, S3). Integrated approaches are needed to avoid old and recent concerns, such as the erection of insufficiently described taxa and the accumulation of inaccurately identified DNA sequences in public repositories (e.g., NCBI GenBank). These problems affect all aspects of biodiversity, from the establishment of a natural classification system and the elucidation of phylogenetic relationships to the exploration of community structure and function using environmental sequencing. We hope to improve data quality by guiding new generations of specialists and suggesting collaborations among researchers with different expertises.

## **SPECIES IDENTIFICATION**

Identification is based on lorica morphology (Box S1.1). The general lorica shape, the ability to adhere particles onto some parts or the entire lorica, and the diameter and characteristics of the lorica opening are the most reliable taxonomic characters (Laval-Peuto

and Brownlee 1986). In contrast, the length and other lorica features are more variable, as they depend on the construction stage and are influenced by the cell cycle and environmental factors.

Documentation of lorica morphology and morphometry as well as of the literature used for determination (including the discussion of observed deviations) is necessary for future comparisons. Monographs and revisionary taxonomic treatises are a very helpful starting point for identification (e.g., Kofoed and Campbell 1929, 1939; Zhang et al. 2012), but these works usually changed the original species circumscriptions (by “splitting” or “lumping” them artifactually), occasionally do not provide the relevant information in a suitable way, and sometimes even include mistakes. To overcome these difficulties, it is better to “go back to the roots” and rescue the information from old bibliography (e.g., Brandt 1906, 1907; Hada 1932; Jörgensen 1924). The usage of original descriptions or, under justified circumstances, authoritative redescriptions (those that allow an unequivocal identification in cases of insufficient original descriptions) helps to prevent mistakes in the final identifications. Consulting original descriptions is now feasible given that many of the older publications are freely available online (<https://archive.org>; <http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>; <http://www.ioc-unesco.org>; <http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/LOV/aquaparadox/html/ClassicMonographs.php>).

## **OTHER ASPECTS INVOLVED IN UNDERSTANDING TINTINNID BIODIVERSITY**

### **Species abundance and distribution**

The classical approach of lorica-based identification (Box S1.1) combined with counts and measurements under the inverted microscope (Box S3) is the most accurate and simplest way to estimate abundance and biomass. This method is still widely used to study distribution over spatial and temporal scales (McManus and Santoferrara 2013).

More recently, the use of environmental sequencing (clone libraries and, lately, high-throughput sequencing, HTS) to study the diversity and distribution of tintinnid assemblages has become promising, for example, for the detection of rare or cryptic taxa not observed by microscopy (Bachy et al. 2013, 2014; Santoferrara et al. 2014). These methods generally use partial sequences of the small subunit ribosomal RNA gene (SSU rDNA) and involve several bioinformatic procedures (Bik et al. 2012; Logares et al. 2012). Sequences are clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on their similarity (generally 99-100% for tintinnids). These OTUs may be identified using reference databases (see below), given the usual desire to link OTUs to traditional species in terms of morphology, behaviour, ecology and/or physiology (Caron 2013; Dolan 2015; McManus and Katz 2009). A limitation of environmental sequencing, however, is that it provides only relative abundances, which sometimes are inconsistent compared to cell counts (for example, due to biases during DNA extraction and PCR amplification or because of differences in the number of SSU rDNA gene copies among species; Medinger et al. 2010).

## DNA barcoding

Barcoding involves two stages. Its ultimate aim is to identify query DNA sequences (e.g., environmental OTUs) using reference DNA sequences. But first, reference DNA sequences from identified species have to be obtained. This stage requires accuracy in both species identification (Box S1.1) and molecular analyses (Box S2). For tintinnids, the sequencing of isolated single cells offers a direct link between lorica morphology and DNA sequence, and it is useful in field samples or species not amenable to clonal culture (Lynn and Pinheiro 2009). Given that single cell sequencing results in specimen destruction, published measurements and images are the accompanying evidence for the barcoded species (Pawlowski et al. 2012).

The SSU rDNA gene is the most commonly sequenced marker in tintinnids and has been very useful for phylogenetic inferences at family and genus levels (Agatha and Strüder-Kypke 2014). However, the differentiation of closely related species is better achieved by less conserved regions of the rDNA, such as the D1-D2 region of the large subunit rRNA gene (LSU rDNA) and the 5.8S rRNA gene combined with the internally transcribed spacers (ITS) 1 and 2 (Santoferrara et al. 2013, 2015; Xu et al. 2012). Most tintinnid species sequenced so far differ by at least 0.6% in the LSU rDNA and/ or 1.5% in the ITS regions (Santoferrara et al. 2015). Analysing all the rDNA regions simultaneously improves phylogeny resolution and allows focusing on different systematic levels (species, genus, and above-genus rank) by creating sub-databases of conserved and hypervariable regions (Bachy et al. 2012; Santoferrara et al. 2012). In contrast, the use of the ITS2 secondary structure and compensatory base changes for species separation is controversial and should be cautiously evaluated (Caisova et al. 2011; Coleman 2000). The proposed universal metazoan barcode, the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene, has not been reliably amplified for tintinnids yet (Strüder-Kypke and Lynn 2010).

Building a reference database for molecular identification and phylogeny requires careful scrutiny of DNA sequences retrieved from public repositories (e.g., NCBI GenBank) given the proliferation of errors and misidentifications. An alternative starting point are public reference databases, in which the DNA sequences are (at least partially) curated based on taxonomic and phylogenetic expertise (e.g., PR<sup>2</sup>, Guillou et al. 2013; EukRef, <http://eukref.org/>).

## Descriptions, redescriptions, and nomenclature changes

Taxonomic acts are regulated by the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 1999). For the description of new species and the redescription of insufficiently known species of tintinnids, it is indispensable that the lorica information necessary for identification is complemented by additional lorica and cytological features as detailed as possible (Box S1.2, S1.3). Permanent material must be deposited in an acknowledged collection (ICZN 1999), and at least SSU rDNA sequences should be submitted to public repositories (Lynn and Simpson 2009).

A combination of live observation and protargol staining reveals the majority of species-specific morphological features in most ciliate groups and the latter provides permanent slides for deposition (Foissner 2014). Low numbers and/or poorly stained cells often prevent accurate descriptions and redescrptions. It is difficult to predetermine a number of specimens to study, yet morphometric data and statistical analyses should be grounded on enough specimens to grant the best compromise between effort and accuracy. An adequate sample size avoids the establishment of new species based on possibly atypical specimens and allows rough estimates of the intraspecific variability; in this sense, it is also important to study several populations.

Species under scrutiny need careful comparison with congeners and other similar species, including the discussion of resemblances and differences in morphological and molecular characters. Currently, the scarce knowledge on intraspecific and interspecific variability in cell morphology (only about 30 species have been studied cytologically, generally based on up to 30 individuals from single populations; Agatha and Strüder-Kypke 2013) as well as the lack of an absolute barcode gap in the commonly used molecular markers (Santoferrara et al. 2015) makes difficult separating or lumping species unequivocally. Therefore, we encourage comparing as many features as possible (e.g., multiple morphological and molecular parameters, biogeography, ecophysiology), which also maximises the chance of identifying new diagnostic characters. Synonymizations and other nomenclature changes should never be grounded on weak evidence (e.g., gene phylogenies of species potentially misidentified by their lorica). Taxa reclassifications should only be performed when the diagnosis can be improved by reliable morphological and/or genetic synapomorphies from accurately identified specimens.

## CONCLUSIONS

The 'taxonomic impediment', i.e., the decline in taxonomic knowledge together with the number of classical taxonomists, limits the accuracy of species identification and the adequacy of species descriptions and redescrptions (Wägele et al. 2011). At first glance, it seems desirable to accelerate the pace of species investigations by adopting a "turbo-taxonomy" approach, focussing on a few, easily accessible morphological features, which is particularly tempting using the tintinnid lorica. However, in the long run, it might turn out that these rapidly produced data (e.g., during species discovery or DNA barcoding) lack relevant information or cause errors, thereby increasing confusion in taxonomic and ecological work.

Future directions in tintinnid studies should include the integral redescrption of type species, which are difficult to sample even in the type localities, but would enable taxonomic rearrangements needed in several families and genera (Agatha and Strüder-Kypke 2014). Other species of interest are the ones from comparatively less studied environments, such as the open ocean, which are more challenging as their low abundances hamper the collection of sufficient material and some of their features are more difficult to study during oceanographic expeditions. But even the insufficiently known species that are abundant in coastal waters are worth examination, because they might possess surprising morphological features and can provide useful DNA barcodes. This information is crucial to build accurate, public databases of reference sequences, especially in the context of current

advancements that are allowing to tackle tintinnids using HTS and “-omics” approaches (Bachy et al. 2013; Keeling et al. 2014).

Complementary morphological, molecular, and ecological data are needed to provide key insights, namely, a natural classification system, a reliable evolutionary model, and a deep understating of biogeography and ecological roles (Fig. 1). To facilitate this aim, we provide checklists and recommendations for data collection and evaluation (Box S1, S2, S3). The collaboration of experts in different disciplines may be the most productive way to carry out such integrated biodiversity studies.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks go to John Dolan (Laboratoire d’Océanographie de Villefranche-sur-Mer, CNRS Université Paris, Station Zoologique, France) for initiating this paper and bringing together colleagues from different biological disciplines. We also thank George McManus (University of Connecticut, USA) for useful comments.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Agatha, S. & Strüder-Kypke, M. C. 2012. Reconciling cladistic and genetic analyses in choreotrichid ciliates (Ciliophora, Spirotricha, Oligotrichea). *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 59:325-350.
- Agatha, S. & Strüder-Kypke, M. C. 2013. Systematics and evolution of tintinnid ciliates. *In*: Dolan, J. R., Montagnes, D. J. S., Agatha, S., Coats, D. W. & Stoecker, D. K. (ed.), *The Biology and Ecology of Tintinnid Ciliates - Models for Marine Plankton*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Oxford, Chichester:42-84.
- Agatha, S. & Strüder-Kypke, M. C. 2014. What morphology and molecules tell us about the evolution of Oligotrichea (Alveolata, Ciliophora). *Acta Protozool.*, 53:77-90.
- Alder, V. A. 1999. Tintinnoinea. *In*: Boltovskoy, D. (ed.), *South Atlantic Zooplankton I*. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden: 1:321-384.
- Bachy, C., Gomez, F., Lopez-Garcia, P., Dolan, J. R. & Moreira, D. 2012. Molecular phylogeny of tintinnid ciliates (Tintinnida, Ciliophora). *Protist*, 163:873-887.
- Bachy, C., Dolan, J. R., López-García, P., Deschamps, P. & Moreira, D. 2013. Accuracy of protist diversity assessments: morphology compared with cloning and direct pyrosequencing of 18S rRNA genes and ITS regions using the conspicuous tintinnid ciliates as a case study. *ISME J.*, 7:244-255.
- Bachy, C., Moreira, D., Dolan, J. R. & López-García, P. 2014. Seasonal dynamics of free-living tintinnid ciliate communities revealed by environmental sequences from the North-West Mediterranean Sea. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 87:330-342.
- Bik, H. M., Porazinska, D. L., Creer, S., Caporaso, J. G., Knight, R. & Thomas, W. K. 2012. Sequencing our way towards understanding global eukaryotic biodiversity. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 27:233-243.
- Brandt, K. 1906. Die Tintinnodeen der Plankton-Expedition. Tafelerklärungen nebst kurzer Diagnose der neuen Arten. *Ergebn. Plankton-Exped. Humboldt-Stiftung*, 3 La:1-33 + Plates 31-70.

- Brandt, K. 1907. Die Tintinnodeen der Plankton-Expedition. Systematischer Teil. *Ergebn. Plankton-Exped. Humboldt-Stiftung*, 3 La:1-488.
- Caisova, L., Marin, B. & Melkonian, M. 2011. A close-up view on ITS2 evolution and speciation - a case study in the Ulvophyceae (Chlorophyta, Viridiplantae). *BMC Evol Biol*, 11:262:1-24.
- Caron, D. A. 2013. Towards a molecular taxonomy for protists: benefits, risks, and applications in plankton ecology. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 60:407-413.
- Coleman, A. W. 2000. The significance of a coincidence between evolutionary landmarks found in mating affinity and a DNA sequence. *Protist*, 151:1-9.
- Dolan, J. R. 2010. Morphology and ecology in tintinnid ciliates of the marine plankton: correlates of lorica dimensions. *Acta Protozool.*, 49:235-244.
- Dolan, J. R. 2015. Planktonic protists: little bugs pose big problems for biodiversity assessments. *J. Plankton Res.*, doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbv079:1-8.
- Dolan, J. R., Montagnes, D. J. S., Agatha, S., Coats, D. W. & Stoecker, D. K. 2013. The biology and ecology of tintinnid ciliates - models for marine plankton. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex. p. viii + 296.
- Entz, G. j. 1909. Studien über Organisation und Biologie der Tintinniden. *Arch. Protistenk.*, 15:93-226
- Foissner, W. 2014. An update of 'basic light and scanning electron microscopic methods for taxonomic studies of ciliated protozoa'. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.*, 64:271-292.
- Guillou, L., Bachar, D., Audic, S., Bass, D., Berney, C., Bittner, L., Boutte, C., Burgaud, G., de Vargas, C., Decelle, J., del Campo, J., Dolan, J. R., Dunthorn, M., Edvardsen, B., Holzmann, M., Kooistra, W. H. C. F., Lara, E., Le Bescot, N., Logares, R., Mahé, F., Massana, R., Montresor, M., Morard, R., Not, F., Pawlowski, J., Probert, I., Sauvadet, A.-L., Siano, R., Stoeck, T., Vaultot, D., Zimmermann, P. & Christen, R. 2013. The Protist Ribosomal Reference database (PR<sup>2</sup>): a catalog of unicellular eukaryote small sub-unit rRNA sequences with curated taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 41:D597-D604.
- Hada, Y. 1932. Report of the biological survey of Mutsu Bay. 24. The pelagic ciliat, suborder Tintinnoinea. *Sci. Rep. Tohoku Imp. Univ., Ser. 4, Biol.*, 7:553-573.
- ICZN = International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature 1999. International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. Fourth edition adopted by the International Union of Biological Sciences. Tipografia La Garangola, Padova. p. 306.
- Jørgensen, E. 1924. Mediterranean Tintinnidae. *Rep. Danish Ocean. Exped., 1908-1910, Mediterr. Seas, Vol. II (Biol.)*, J3:1-110.
- Keeling, P. J., Burki, F., Wilcox, H. M., Allam, B., Allen, E. E., Amaral-Zettler, L. A., Armbrust, E. V., Archibald, J. M., Bharti, A. K., Bell, C. J., Beszteri, B., Bidle, K. D., Cameron, C. T., Campbell, L., Caron, D. A., Cattolico, R. A., Collier, J. L., Coyne, K., Davy, S. K., Deschamps, P., Dyhrman, S. T., Edvardsen, B., Gates, R. D., Gobler, C. J., Greenwood, S. J., Guida, S. M., Jacobi, J. L., Jakobsen, K. S., James, E. R., Jenkins, B., John, U., Johnson, M. D., Juhl, A. R., Kamp, A., Katz, L. A., Kiene, R., Kudryavtsev, A., Leander, B. S., Lin, S., Lovejoy, C., Lynn, D., Marchetti, A., McManus, G., Nedelcu, A. M., Menden-Deuer, S., Miceli, C., Mock, T., Montresor, M., Moran, M. A., Murray, S., Nadathur, G., Nagai, S., Ngam, P. B., Palenik, B., Pawlowski, J., Petroni, G., Piganeau, G., Posewitz, M. C., Rengefors, K., Romano, G., Rumpho, M. E., Rynearson, T., Schilling, K. B., Schroeder, D. C., Simpson, A. G., Slamovits, C. H., Smith, D. R., Smith, G. J., Smith, S. R., Sosik, H. M., Stief, P., Theriot, E., Twary, S. N., Umale, P. E., Vaultot, D., Wawrik, B., Wheeler, G. L., Wilson, W. H., Xu, Y., Zingone, A. & Worden, A. Z.

2014. The Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project (MMETSP): Illuminating the functional diversity of eukaryotic life in the oceans through transcriptome sequencing. *PLoS Biol.*, 12, e1001889. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001889.

- Kofoid, C. A. & Campbell, A. S. 1929. A conspectus of the marine and fresh-water Ciliata belonging to the suborder Tintinnoinea, with descriptions of new species principally from the Agassiz Expedition to the eastern tropical Pacific 1904-1905. *Univ. Calif. Publs Zool.*, 34:1-403.
- Kofoid, C. A. & Campbell, A. S. 1939. Reports on the scientific results of the expedition to the eastern tropical Pacific, in charge of Alexander Agassiz, by the U. S. Fish Commission Steamer "Albatross," from October, 1904, to March, 1905, Lieut.-Commander L. M. Garrett, U. S. N. Commanding. XXXVII. The Ciliata: The Tintinnoinea. *Bull. Mus. comp. Zool. Harv.*, 84:1-473 + Plates 471-436.
- Laval-Peuto, M. 1981. Construction of the lorica in Ciliata Tintinnina. In vivo study of *Favella ehrenbergii*: variability of the phenotypes during the cycle, biology, statistics, biometry. *Protistologica*, 17:249-272.
- Laval-Peuto, M. & Brownlee, D. C. 1986. Identification and systematics of the Tintinnina (Ciliophora): evaluation and suggestions for improvement. *Annls Inst. océanogr.*, Paris, 62:69-84.
- Lynn, D. H. 2008. The ciliated protozoa. Characterization, classification, and guide to the literature. Springer. p. xxxiii + 605.
- Lynn, D. H. & Pinheiro, M. 2009. A survey of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification studies of unicellular protists using single-cell PCR. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 56:406-412.
- Lynn, D. H. & Simpson, A. G. B. 2009. From the editors: describing new taxa of unicellular protists. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 56:403-405.
- McManus, G. B. & Katz, L. A. 2009. Molecular and morphological methods for identifying plankton: what makes a successful marriage? *J. Plankton Res.*, 31:1119-1129.
- McManus, G. B. & Santoferrara, L. F. 2013. Tintinnids in microzooplankton communities. In: Dolan, J. R., Montagnes, D. J. S., Agatha, S., Coats, D. W. & Stoecker, D. K. (ed.), *The Biology and Ecology of Tintinnid Ciliates - Models for Marine Plankton*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Oxford, Chichester:198-213.
- Pawlowski, J., Audic, S., Adl, S., Bass, D., Belbahri, L., Berney, C., Bowser, S. S., Cepicka, I., Decelle, J., Dunthorn, M., Fiore-Donno, A. M., Gile, G. H., Holzmann, M., Jahn, R., Jirků, M., Keeling, P. J., Kostka, M., Kudryavtsev, A., Lara, E., Lukeš, J., Mann, D. G., Mitchell, E. A., Nitsche, F., Romeralo, M., Saunders, G. W., Simpson, A. G. B., Smirnov, A. V., Spouge, J. L., Stern, R. F., Stoeck, T., Zimmermann, J., Schindel, D. & de Vargas, C. 2012. CBOL protist working group: barcoding eukaryotic richness beyond the animal, plant, and fungal kingdoms. *PLoS Biol.*, 10, e1001419. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001419.
- Santoferrara, L. F., McManus, G. B. & Alder, V. A. 2012. Phylogeny of the order Tintinnida (Ciliophora, Spirotrichea) inferred from small- and large-subunit rRNA genes. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 59:423-426.
- Santoferrara, L. F., McManus, G. B. & Alder, V. A. 2013. Utility of genetic markers and morphology for species discrimination within the order Tintinnida (Ciliophora, Spirotrichea). *Protist*, 164:24-36.

Santoferrara, L. F., Grattepanche, J. D., Katz, L. A. & McManus, G. B. 2014. Pyrosequencing for assessing diversity of eukaryotic microbes: analysis of data on marine planktonic ciliates and comparison with traditional methods. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 16:2752-2763.

Santoferrara, L. F., Tian, M., Alder, V. A. & McManus, G. B. 2015. Discrimination of closely related species in tintinnid ciliates: new insights on crypticity and polymorphism in the genus *Helicostomella*. *Protist*, 166:78-92.

Strüder-Kypke, M. C. & Lynn, D. H. 2010. Comparative analysis of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene in ciliates (Alveolata, Ciliophora) and evaluation of its suitability as a biodiversity marker. *Syst. Biodivers.*, 8:131-148.

Xu, D., Sun, P., Shin, M. K. & Kim, Y. O. 2012. Species boundaries in tintinnid ciliates: a case study - morphometric variability, molecular characterization, and temporal distribution of *Helicostomella* species (Ciliophora, Tintinnina). *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 59:351-358.

Zhang, W., Feng, M., Yu, Y., Zhang, C. & Xiao, T. 2012. An Illustrated Guide to Contemporary Tintinnids in the World. Science Press, Beijing. p. xvii + 499.

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work

#### FIGURE LEGEND

**Figure 1.** Biodiversity studies in tintinnid ciliates. Species identification is still based almost exclusively on lorica features such as the structure, shape, and size, especially of the oral diameter (OD). Accurate determination is fundamental for subsequent steps such as (i) estimation of species abundance and distribution for ecological studies, (ii) linkage of DNA sequences to species for phylogenetic inference and the establishment of reference databases, and (iii) the re-/description of species based not only on lorica characters, but also on cell features recognizable in live and protargol stained material. Integration of morphological, molecular, and ecological information (Supporting Information: Box S1, S2, S3) is needed to provide a natural classification system, a reliable evolutionary model, and a deep understating of biogeography and ecological roles. L, length.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Box S1. Morphological data

Box S2. Molecular data

Box S3. Metadata and ecological data

